SINGLE AUDIT REPORTS

POLK COUNTY, TEXAS

For the Year Ended September 30, 2023

SINGLE AUDIT REPORTS September 30, 2023

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	1
INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE, AND THE SCHEDULES OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS	3
<u>SCHEDULES</u>	
SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR YEAR AUDIT FINDINGS	6
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	7
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS	8
SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF STATE AWARDS	9
NOTES TO SCHEDULES OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS	10



INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS*

To the Honorable County Judge and Members of the Commissioners' Court of Polk County, Texas:

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Polk County, Texas (the "County") as of and for the year ended September 30, 2023, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated April 25, 2024.

Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the County's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the County's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified.

1



Report on Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the County's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the County's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Belt Harris Pechacek, ILLP

Belt Harris Pechacek, LLLP *Certified Public Accountants* Houston, Texas April 25, 2024



INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE, AND THE SCHEDULES OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS

To the Honorable County Judge and Members of the Commissioners' Court of Polk County, Texas:

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal and State Program

Opinion on Each Major Federal and State Program

We have audited Polk County, Texas' (the "County") compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* and the Uniform Grant Management Standards (UGMS) that could have a direct and material effect on each of the County's major federal and state programs for the year ended September 30, 2023. The County's major federal and state programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

In our opinion, the County complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal and state programs for the year ended September 30, 2023.

Basis for Opinion on Each Major Federal and State Program

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance) and UGMS. Our responsibilities under those standards, the Uniform Guidance, and UGMS are further described in the Auditors' Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section of our report.

We are required to be independent of the County and to meet our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal and state program. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the County's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above.



Responsibilities of Management for Compliance

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements referred to above and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, statutes, regulations, rules, and provisions of contracts or grant agreements applicable to the County's federal and state programs.

Auditors' Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above occurred, whether due to fraud or error, and express an opinion on the County's compliance based on our audit. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, *Government Auditing Standards*, the Uniform Guidance, and the UGMS will always detect material noncompliance when it exists. The risk of not detecting material noncompliance resulting from fraud is higher than for that resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above is considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, it would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user of the report on compliance about the County's compliance with the requirements of each major federal and state program as a whole.

In performing an audit in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance and UGMS, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the County's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.
- Obtain an understanding of the County's internal control over compliance relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance and UGMS, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and any significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control over compliance that we identified during the audit.

Report on Internal Control over Compliance

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal and state program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal and state program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiency, or a combination of deficiency and corrected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal and state program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal and state program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the Auditors' Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance. Given these limitations, during our audit, we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses.

Our audit was not designed for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

<u>Report on Schedules of Expenditures of Federal and State Awards Required by the Uniform</u> <u>Guidance and UGMS</u>

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the discretely presented component unit, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the County, as of and for the year ended September 30, 2023, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements. We have issued our report thereon dated April 25, 2024 which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedules of expenditures of federal and state awards are presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and UGMS and are not required parts of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedules of expenditures of federal and state awards are fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance and UGMS. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Belt Harris Pechacek, ILLP

Belt Harris Pechacek, LLLP *Certified Public Accountants* Houston, Texas April 25, 2024

POLK COUNTY, TEXAS SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR YEAR AUDIT FINDINGS For the Year Ended September 30, 2023

A. SUMMARY OF PRIOR YEAR AUDIT FINDINGS

Material Weakness

Background

The Sheriff's department maintains a custodial account for monies held for the benefit and use of inmates while detained at the jail for the purposes of making paid phone calls and ancillary purchases. The custodial nature of the account should result in the reconciled bank account balance equaling the individual inmate trust liability. After an inmate is released from the jail the procedure is for the inmate to request the funds from the Sheriff's office and the Sheriff's office would disburse the funds in the form of a check or debit card.

Condition

At year end, the Sheriff's jail inmate bank account could not be reconciled to the corresponding liability account which captures amounts due to individual inmates. The difference between the bank account and inmate liability account was \$43,057 at year end. The Sheriff's inmate bank reconciliation listed deposits in transit which we were unable to validate. Additionally, we noted that subsequent to year end, the bank account was negative resulting in insufficient funds bank charges. In theory, a negative balance could not occur in the account. Our findings initiated a criminal investigation that is presently ongoing. The custodian of the account has reportedly admitted to misappropriation of a portion of the funds. As of February 2023 and with the information provided, it appears that as of that date the unaccounted difference between the bank account and inmate liability is \$43,560.

<u>Cause</u>

It appears the custodian misappropriated funds which went undetected until the external independent financial statement audit, as the County's procedures did not detect the anomaly on the bank reconciliation or disparity between the bank account and related inmate liability account.

Effect

There is a shortage in the jail inmate account as of February 2023 of \$43,560.

Recommendation

After the jail inmate checking account has been reconciled each month, it should be reviewed by a supervisor that is familiar with the proper use of the account and any reconciling items should be validated. Additionally, in conjection with this step, the reconciled bank balance should be traced and agreed to the individual inmate liability account. The County should continue with its investigation and pursue collection from the custodian and employee dishonesty bond.

Status of Prior Year Finding

The Sheriff's and County Auditor's offices have both implemented dual overlapping procedures to ensure oversight of the account.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

For the Year Ended September 30, 2023

ISUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS

Financial Statements

What were the results of the auditors' determination of whether the financial statements of the auditee were prepared in accordance with generally accepted	
accounting principles?	Unmodified
Is a 'going concern' emphasis-of-matter paragraph included in the auditors' report?	No
Is a significant deficiency in internal control disclosed?	No
Is a material weakness in internal control disclosed?	No
Is material noncompliance disclosed?	No
Federal and State Programs	
Type of audit report issued on compliance for each major program	Unmodified
Is a significant deficiency in internal control over major programs disclosed?	No
Is a material weakness in internal control over major programs disclosed?	No
Does the auditors' report include a statement that the financial statements include departments, agencies, or other organizational units expending federal and state	
awards which are not included in this audit?	No
What is the dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs?	\$750,000
Did the auditee qualify as low-risk auditee?	No
Did the audit disclose any audit findings that the auditor is required to report under Uniform Guidance 2 CFR §200.516 Audit Findings paragraph (a)?	No

Major Program Information and Audit Findings

Identification of major programs:

Assistance Listing (AL)		
Numbers	Name of Federal Program or Cluster	Number of Audit Findings
21.027	American Rescue Plan - Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds	0
	Name of State Program	Number of Audit Findings
	Texas Historic Courthouse	0

II. FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

None identified.

III. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS None identified.

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

For the Year Ended September 30, 2023

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Program/Grant/ Contract Number	AL Number		Federal penditures		
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT Pass-through Texas Department of Agriculture						
Community Development Block Grant	7220361	14.228	\$	301,884		
Community Development Block Grant	CDV21-0368-C113	14.228		15,950		
Pass-through Texas General Land Office						
Community Development Block Grant	20-065-018-C064	14.228		378,525		
Total U.S. Dep	partment of Housing and Urban De	evelopment		696,359		
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE						
Pass-through Texas Department of Public Safety						
State Criminal Alien Assistance Program	BJA-2022-171484	16.606		12,774		
Pass-through Texas Office of the Governor - Criminal Just	ice Division (CJD)					
Evidence/Procurement Manager	4437901	16.738		38,458		
Special Victims Liaison	4297302	16.738		35,506		
	Total U.S. Department	of Justice		86,738		
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVIC	ES					
Pass-through Deep East Texas Council of Governments						
Title III Part C1, Nutrition Services	23-1000824-1	93.045		110,265		
Title III Part C2, Nutrition Services	23-1000824-1	93.045		29,579		
				139,844		
Pass-through Texas Department of Aging and Disability Se						
Social Services Block Grant	1000824	93.667		296,404		
Total U.S	S. Department of Health and Huma	an Services		436,248		
U.S. ELECTION ASSISTANCE COMMISSION						
Pass-through Texas Secretary of State						
Help America Vote Act	TX18101001-01-187	90.404		80,457		
	Total U.S. Election Assistance C	ommission		80,457		
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR						
Pass-through Bureau of Land Management						
Payments in Lieu of Taxes - 2023	PL 110-343	15.226		60,023		
	Total U.S. Department			60,023		
	•			,		
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY						
Direct Award	L					
COVID-19 American Rescue Plan - Coronavirus State an		01.007		2 0 7 2 0 4 0		
Local Fiscal Recovery Funds		21.027		3,072,848		
	Total U.S. Department o	Treasury		3,072,848		
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY						
Pass-through Texas Division of Emergency Management		0.0.0.0		100 01 1		
Disaster Grants - Public Assistance	4485DRTXP0000001	97.036		175,714		
	Total U.S. Department of Homelar	a Security		175,714		
	Total Federal Ex	penditures	\$	4,608,388		

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF STATE AWARDS

For the Year Ended September 30, 2023

State Grantor/Pass-through Grantor/Program Title	Program/Grant/ Project Number	State enditures
STATE DEPARTMENT OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL		
Direct Awards		
VCLG Grant District Attorney	C-00061	\$ 29,540
VCLG Grant Sheriff's Office	C-00062	43,958
Victim Notification Service	C-00355	17,505
Total State Department Office of	f the Attorney General	 91,003
TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION Direct Award		
County Transportation Infrastructure Fund	Precinct #2	59,721
County Transportation Infrastructure Fund	Precinct #3	15,391
County Transportation Infrastructure Fund	Precinct #4	11,242
Total Texas Departm	nent of Transportation	 86,354
TEXAS INDIGENT DEFENSE COMMISSION Direct Award		
Indigent Defense Formula Grant	212-23-187	47,941
Total Texas Indigen	t Defense Commission	47,941
TEXAS COMPTROLLER OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS Direct Award		
Law Enforcement Officer Standards and Education	17460016219	5,939
Total Texas Comptrol	ller of Public Accounts	 5,939
TEXAS HISTORICAL COMMISSION		
Direct Award Courthouse Preservation Program	N/A	432,042
-	Historical Commission	 432,042
TEXAS STATE UNIVERSITY TEXAS SCHOOL SAFETY CENTER Direct Award	N7/4	21 21 5
Sheriff's Tobacco Enforcement Program	N/A	 31,315
Total Texas State University Texas	s School Safety Center	 31,315
TEXAS OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR		
Pass-through Office of the Governor Criminal Justice Division	4500 601	00.070
Bullet Proof Shields	4588601 4366401	88,060
Body-Worn Cameras Total Texas (Office of the Governor	 31,688 119,748
		 117,740
TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY Pass-through Deep East Texas Council of Governments		
DETCOG Solid Waste	23-14-06	 14,192
Total Texas Commission on the E	Invironmental Quality	 14,192
COMMISION ON STATE EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS Pass-through Deep East Texas Council of Governments		
DETCOG 911 Addressing	N/A	 36,898
Total Texas Commission on State Emerg	gency Communications	 36,898
	tal State Expenditures	\$ 865,432

See accompanying notes to schedules of expenditures of Federal and State awards.

POLK COUNTY, TEXAS NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS For the Year Ended September 30, 2023

1. REPORTING ENTITY

The accompanying schedules of expenditures of federal and state awards (the "Schedules") presents the activity of all federal and state financial assistance programs of the County.

2. BASIS OF ACCOUNTING

The Schedules are presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal and state Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance) and the Uniform Grant Management Standards (UGMS). Therefore, some amounts presented in these Schedules may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the basic financial statements.

3. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Expenditures reported on the Schedules are reported on the modified accrual basis of accounting. These expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in the Uniform Guidance and UGMS, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement. Negative amounts shown on the Schedules, if any, represent, adjustments or credits made in the normal course to amounts reported as expenditures in prior years.

4. INDIRECT COST RATE

The County has elected not to use the 10% de minimis indirect cost rate allowed under the Uniform Guidance.